

Slovakia Value for Money Reviews Progress and Considerations

International Monetary Fund European Commission (SRSS)

Bratislava, November 2017







Initiation of the Third Round

- a. Agriculture and Rural Development
- b. Public Wage Bill
- c. Marginalized Groups

II. Progress from Previous Rounds



II.a Public Wage Bill

- Strong international benchmarking
 - Aggregate wage bill not high but rising: reflecting mainly wage levels and trends
 - But employment higher in some areas (public administration, police) and lower in others (army, health/social work)
 - Substantial variation in wage levels across sectors (seem high in central government, budgetary organizations, local government)
 -and possibly within?

FAD

II.a Public Wage Bill

- Challenge is to identify savings to contribute to financing wage increases (especially low wages)
 - Need to understand differences in wages across government...
 -and think of ways of reducing employment in some sectors (upfront costs)
 - Will require consolidating (collecting) data from various sources/sectors (administrative, LFS, PIAAC) and careful analytical analysis
 - Need deeper understanding of constraints created by indexing of some wages to average wages.
 - Construction of careful baseline will help calculation of "savings"



II.b Agriculture and Rural Development

- Strong initial diagnostics
 - Issue seems to revolve around inappropriate mix of agricultural activities/crops
 - National value added would increase with greater emphasis on labor intensive crops
 - Unclear why existing emphasis on cereals etc. with "agribusiness"



II.b Agriculture and Rural Development

- Challenge is to redirect to high-value added activities
 - Does this require greater emphasis on rural development (Pillar II)?
 - Consider scaling back number of RD activities to reduce administrative cost (away from direct payments)
 - Can direct support be more progressively linked to farm size (e.g., capping absolute benefits, support linked to size)?
 - Advance planning for reform of CAP, e.g., greater emphasis on RD components
 - Need to identify share of discretionary spending in total, which can be influenced by spending review



II.c Marginalized Groups

- Broad understanding of key issues
 - Clear understanding of nature of challenges (income poverty, education, health, housing etc)
 - Clear understanding of need to clarify the components of "disadvantage" that need to be addressed
 - Motivation also comes from need to plan for next round of EU funding



II.c Marginalized Groups

- Range of issues need to be addressed
 - Generate consensus on overall conceptual and analytical approach (focus on "gaps" or "groups")
 - Identify key indicators of disadvantage: benchmarking across countries, population and time
 - Integrate various data sources for strong quantitative diagnostics (international and national benchmarking)
 - Start mapping spending (from various sources) to programs/instruments relevant for addressing "gaps"



III Progress from Previous Rounds

- Continued improvement in outputs:
 - More detailed measures
 - Measures provided relative to baseline
- Good progress in strengthening institutional framework to ensure results
 - Implementation Unit started in June 2017 (Office of DPM); key for ensuring "impact"
 - Now 2 senior staff plus 2 junior analysts to come
 - Progress in developing implementation framework (Implementation Plan; Quarterly Dashboard; Implementation report)



III Progress from Previous Rounds

- Issues to be addressed going forward
 - Implementation Unit has started to take on tasks that would ideally be taken on by Budget Department and Line Ministries (potential overreach/overextension)
 - Need to be more granular on measures, timelines, indicators during the review; and focus on value for money as well as savings ("Experimentation")
 - Need for IU to provide feedback to VfM team and sector reviews:
 - What types of measures work in practice
 - Step in at three quarter mark to provide input to steps and indicators



THANK YOU